International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research
Vol. 24, No. 9, pp. 570-583, September 2025
https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.24.9.28
Received Jun 8, 2025; Revised Aug 22, 2025; Accepted Sept 1, 2025

Philosophical Perspectives of Cultural Imperialism, Hegemony, and Knowledge Colonization in the African University

Doniwen Pietersen*
University of South Africa Pretoria,
South Africa

Amasa Ndofirepi
Sol Plaatje University and Ali Mazrui Centre for Higher Education Studies,
University of Johannesburg

Dean Langeveldt
Sol Plaatje University Kimberley,
South Africa

Abstract. This conceptual article examines the fact that African universities have disentangled themselves from the direct legacies of colonialism, yet they continue to be entangled in cultural imperialism, epistemic hegemony, and knowledge colonization. Due to these forces, Western epistemologies dominate and pressure indigenous African epistemologies onto the periphery, thereby strengthening intellectual dependency on the Global North. The conceptual argument is made that cultural imperialism manifests itself in African higher education, driving what hegemony determines as valid knowledge, and forming ways in which knowledge colonization limits epistemic diversity. Drawing on the philosophical and theoretical perspectives of scholars such as Antonio Gramsci, Frantz Fanon, Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o, and Boaventura de Sousa Santos, the study employs systematic documentary analysis of 42 sources comprising peer-reviewed articles, books, and any other documents published between 2010 and 2025. It critically assesses how African universities may resist such epistemic injustices by reclaiming indigenous knowledge, liberating curricula, and asserting epistemic sovereignty. It also advances illustrative case studies from South Africa, Nigeria, and Kenya, of the persistence of colonial academic structures and nascent efforts to decolonize African higher education. The study acknowledges limitations in scope and recommends that future empirical research examine specific decolonization outcomes and institutional transformation processes. In sum, the paper gives specific suggestions for

*Corresponding author: Doniwen Pietersen; *epietecd1@unisa.ac.za*

achieving epistemic freedom and inculcating a more welcoming and fairer scholastic climate in African universities.

Keywords: curriculum reform; epistemic sovereignty; hegemony; knowledge creation; linguistic decolonization

1. Introduction

African universities have adopted European educational models, reflecting the Global North's epistemological, linguistic, and cultural frameworks (Chiramba & Motala, 2023). African higher education institutions have retained those operations within Eurocentric paradigms that valorize Western knowledge systems at the expense of epistemologies on this continent (Eybers, 2025). The present paper contends that such a process is best comprehended through three interlinked concepts: cultural imperialism, hegemony, and knowledge colonization. Together, these forces articulate how Western epistemologies continue to be intellectually still dominant over the Global North and South and its peoples, while undermining the value of indigenous African knowledge systems (Egbai & Chimakonam, 2019).

Cultural imperialism is a process whereby Western cultural and intellectual values are installed and regarded as the dominant standard, often compromising indigenous traditions and systems of knowledge (Adeate & Sewchurran, 2023). In the African university setting, cultural imperialism manifests itself through the uncritical adoption of curricula, pedagogical methods, and institutional structures from the West. This allows for the underprivileging of African ways of knowing (Jansen, 2017). For example, European languages are taught in African universities, creating a rift between students and their cultural legacy. It also limits access to education only for those fluent in the language of the colonizer (wa Thiong'o, 2012). This form of linguistic imperialism does not merely sustain cultural hierarchies, it also disqualifies an overwhelming majority of Africans from access to higher education (Makalela, 2017).

The paper critically assesses how cultural imperialism, cultural leadership, and the colonization of knowledge continue to condition African universities. Drawing lessons on the pathway toward epistemic liberation from works by Antonio Gramsci (1971), Frantz Fanon (1963), Ngũgĩ wa Thiong'o (2012), and Boaventura de Sousa Santos (2018)—on reclaiming indigenous knowledge, decolonizing curricula, and asserting epistemic sovereignty—this paper shows, through case studies in South Africa, Nigeria, and Kenya, the following two realities: the persistence of colonial academic structures, and the transformative potentialities of decolonial initiatives (Hlatshwayo, 2023; Two Convivial Thinkers, 2024).

Centering African epistemologies that challenge hegemonic Western knowledge systems will set universities on a path toward inclusivity and equity within the future envisioned for them—a future in which they participate in shaping global scholarship on their own terms.

2. Literature Review

Hegemony, as proposed by Gramsci (1971), refers to the systemic privileging of Western knowledge as superior in shaping what is regarded as legitimate scholarship. This hegemony finds expression in African universities which valorise Western academic theories, methodologies, and publications, while frequently pushing African intellectual contributions to the fringes (Gramsci, 1971; Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018). For example, scholars from Africa are often expected to publish under Western scholarship, and to adhere to Eurocentric academic standards. That process devalues local knowledge and keeps the circle of intellectual dependency closed (Mignolo, 2018). Epistemic hegemony both misrepresents diversity within knowledge landscapes globally, and silences African-centered scholarship.

Knowledge colonization refers to the control over what is considered knowledge, who produces it, and how it is organized within academic institutions, so that Western epistemologies become privileged and African knowledge systems are systematically excluded or misrepresented (Leibowitz, 2017; Timmis et al., 2024). De Sousa Santos (2018) describes this phenomenon as "epistemicide", meaning the suppression and wiping out of non-Western knowledge systems. Its consequence is that African universities are left with little or no institutional support for research on indigenous knowledge systems, which leads to the marginalization of African scholars seeking a change in Eurocentric paradigms (Heleta, 2018). Colonial structures thus persist, rooting teaching in what Heleta (2018) calls "big lies" that distort historical and cultural realities, gathering strength against intellectual forms emanating from the Global North.

3. Methodology

This study employed a qualitative research design grounded in systematic documentary analysis, to examine the philosophical dimensions of cultural imperialism, hegemony, and knowledge colonization in African universities. The methodology follows Ahmed's (2010) paradigm for documentary research, which emphasizes interpretive understanding over hypothesis testing.

Data Sources and Selection Criteria: The research drew from multiple document types, including peer-reviewed academic articles, policy documents, institutional reports, and theoretical texts published between 2010 and 2025. Inclusion criteria focused on documents that (1) address epistemological issues in African higher education, (2) examine colonial legacies in university systems, (3) discuss decolonization initiatives, and (4) provide theoretical frameworks for understanding cultural imperialism and hegemony. A total of 42 documents were analyzed, comprising 28 peer-reviewed articles, eight books, four institutional reports, and two policy documents.

Analytical Framework: The study employed thematic analysis informed by grounded theory principles, allowing themes to emerge inductively from the data, while maintaining the focus on decolonized knowledge formation and epistemic hegemony frameworks. Three primary analytical lenses guided the examination:

Gramsci's concept of hegemony, Fanon's analysis of cultural imperialism, and de Sousa Santos' framework of epistemicide.

Data-analysis Process: Documents were analyzed through multiple readings to identify recurring patterns, contradictions, and thematic relationships. The analysis involved (1) the initial coding of epistemological concepts, (2) thematic categorization around cultural imperialism, hegemony, and knowledge colonization, (3) cross-case comparison using examples from South Africa, Nigeria, and Kenya, and (4) a synthesis of the findings within the broader decolonial theoretical framework.

Validity and Limitations: The study's validity was enhanced through the triangulation of multiple document types and theoretical perspectives. However, limitations include a reliance on textual analysis without direct institutional or participant observation, a potential bias toward English-language sources, and the conceptual rather than empirical nature of the investigation.

4. Thematic Analysis

This section synthesizes the literature through three interconnected themes that demonstrate how Western epistemological dominance manifests in African higher education. The analysis examines cultural imperialism in university structures, epistemic hegemony in knowledge validation, and knowledge colonization in curriculum design.

4.1 Cultural Imperialism in the African university, and its forms

Cultural imperialism in higher education happens when Western epistemologies, languages, and (relatively similar) institutional models dominate African universities (Woldegiorgis, 2021). The forms and mechanisms through which this imperialism operates, are basically interconnected. The principle remains a representation of the fact that, in most academic disciplines, the main thoughts continue to be Western – in particular, curricula marginalize indigenous African philosophies and systems of knowledge (Asea, 2022). For instance, History, Philosophy, and Political Science curricula hardly ever decolonize themselves; these disciplines remain largely Eurocentric, by default. A very important aspect is how such traditional curricular contents exclude or frame African contributions as secondary.

This exclusion serves to perpetuate the myth that Africa has never had any significant intellectual traditions; it also strengthens the hegemony of Western knowledge systems over students' ability to engage with their cultural and philosophical heritage (Ntloedibe, 2025). As the results showed, works relating to African literature and philosophy tend to be superficial additions that do not represent core knowledge, and thus alienate African students from their epistemic heritage in respect of scholarship which is contributed from an authentic African viewpoint.

Second, cultural imperialism also takes place under the dominance of colonial languages—English, French, and Portuguese, as the major languages of teaching

in African universities. These languages suffocate indigenous African languages and strip students of their linguistic-cultural identities. wa Thiong'o (2012) insists that language lies at the heart of epistemic sovereignty; its exclusion from the academy is thus tantamount to intellectual dispossession. Besides these unfavorable conditions for many who want access to education because it ties academic expression within foreign linguistic fences, it ensures that Western knowledge continues to be valued more highly than other knowledges. For instance, research by Bamgbose (2020) demonstrates that English has been allowed dominance over other languages in Nigerian universities; local languages have therefore been left underdeveloped, further compelling dependence on the North for knowledge.

In contexts where Western pedagogies persist, cultural imperialism has never taken a subservient place in African universities. There is classroom-based teaching, with large lecture rooms serving to demonstrate European traditions which are extremely different from indigenous African ways of passing on knowledge. Oral storytelling, and community participation to advance learning, are well-known indigenous methods. Imported pedagogies underrate local ways of knowing and shape an educational culture where communal learning is not valued (Pietersen, 2024). To cite an example, the lecture-based model promotes reception, as opposed to the ubuntu philosophy of interconnectedness and collective meaning-making. The Eurocentric epistemological exercise undoubtedly weakens the richness of African intellectual traditions, while killing critical thinking among students (Mbembe, 2016).

Through the South African higher education system, these types of cultural imperialism can be vividly demonstrated. In this system, colonial-era curricula, academic structures, and cultural symbols continue to dictate the university landscape. They continue to do so, long after apartheid reforms inflicted even harsher change. It is in these conditions that student protests took place under #RhodesMustFall at the University of Cape Town in 2015 (Bekker, 2019).

This student-initiated protest over a statue of Cecil John Rhodes very quickly expanded into broader critiques against institutional racism, cultural exclusion, and epistemic injustice in higher education institutions. Protesters demanded more than just the removal of colonial symbols; they wanted decolonized curricula and greater recognition of African ways of knowing. Activism once again proved that universities were not ready to proceed beyond symbolic gestures, to introduce substantive transformation (Heleta, 2018; Nyamnjoh, 2019).

Some institutions introduced elective courses on African philosophy and history, but those reforms were often peripheral, rather than fundamental. Also, the retention of English as medium of instruction shows just how deeply ingrained linguistic imperialism is (Makalela, 2017). These problems were not unique, and the #RhodesMustFall movement prompted similar events across the continent. It shows how able African students and scholars are to fight back against cultural imperialism and demand a more welcoming academic milieu where knowledge is fairly disseminated. Recent research by Mokoena (2023) demonstrates that

ubuntu pedagogy offers concrete alternatives to Western-centric teaching methods, providing a philosophical foundation for transformative educational practices.

4.2 Hegemony in Knowledge Systems: Intellectual Dependency and Epistemic Authority

Cultural imperialism operates through overt structures such as curricula, language, and pedagogy. More subtle ideological forces have allowed the persistence of Western dominance in African universities. Hegemony, a concept developed by Gramsci (1971), refers to the dominance of a certain worldview that is assumed to be common sensical—not through coercion, but through consent. In the specific context of African higher education, epistemic hegemony operates by legitimizing Western knowledge systems as the default standard of academic legitimacy, while marginalizing African epistemologies as inferior, anecdotal, or unscientific.

This has allowed the trial of ideas to be more or less open, with positivist social science viewing Western academic frameworks as aspiring to universality, objectivity, and rigor, and African knowledge systems as traditional or parochial. For instance, African philosophical traditions such as ubuntu—which is grounded in communal ethics and relational thinking—are not afforded much space within mainstream academic discourse. More dominant are the Western philosophies of Kant, Hegel, and Descartes; the composition of such syllabi further strengthens the supposition that intellectual value lies only in the North. It is against such epistemic framing that Ndlovu-Gatsheni (2018) and de Sousa Santos (2018) defend their argument about the process of epistemicide—an obliteration or devaluation of alternative systems of knowledge.

This epistemic ranking is further compounded by structural barriers in the processes of academic publishing and knowledge dissemination (Gonzales & Núñez, 2021). African scholars are often pressured to publish in high-impact Western journals, very few of which have opened up to changes in Eurocentric frameworks and indigenous or context-specific knowledge. Mignolo (2018) notes that the rule of Western theoretical models for knowledge development creates a circular dependency of intellect, where African scholars must seek validation from foreign epistemological gatekeepers. This not only keeps African scholarship off the global stage but also shrinks the space for socially relevant research which is grounded in local contexts.

This scenario ensures the dominance of Western epistemologies, since African universities are dependent on external financing and accreditation. Research priorities are often set by donors from Europe and North America, who support more expensive themes that are important to them (such as climate change, public health, and global governance), rather than local needs. In fact, indigenous knowledge preservation or community-based education would be much more important and useful and acceptable to Africans. As Nyamnjoh (2019) observes, this influence undermines the intellectual sovereignty of African institutions, rendering them less able to set academic agendas relevant to their own contexts.

The Kenyan higher education system is a very inspiring illustration of the operation of epistemic hegemony in practice. Its intellectual history and educational infrastructure have always been commendable, but the country's universities seldom break from their dependency on Western textbooks, pedagogy, and accreditation standards (Nhemachena et al., 2016). More than 70 per cent of research funds at Kenyan universities come from Western donors; large sums are directed toward such matters as agricultural exports and infectious diseases – clearly, matters of interest to the Global North.

Local issues, such as traditional medicine or indigenous land use practices, are poorly researched or underfunded. At the same time, universities must often change their curricula and pedagogical approaches to satisfy the accreditation requirements of British or American institutions—epistemic hierarchies that appraise "lesser" African knowledge. As Wanjiku (2024) illustrates, language policies in Kenyan universities continue to privilege English over indigenous languages, thereby perpetuating epistemic exclusion.

These dynamics show how the dominance of Western ways of knowing is embedded in institution building, research habits, and academic motivation, so that African universities have a hard time claiming intellectual independence. Simply put, the hold of epistemic dominance is not just some fancy notion; it takes shape in publishing rules, funding distribution, accreditation criteria, and the internal workings of university systems. Removing such a form of supremacy requires curricular decolonization, along with a recreation of one's very understanding of the foundational principles regarding which knowledge is deemed valid, and who is allowed to produce it.

4.3 Knowledge Colonization: Controlling the Limits of Knowledge

Apart from cultural imperialism and epistemic hegemony, the other mechanism through which Western supremacy over African universities is sustained, is knowledge colonization. This refers to the domination of, or control over, what forms legitimate knowledge, who has the right to create it, and in what fashion it should be organized and distributed in academic institutions. Drawing on Foucault's (1972) assertion that power and knowledge are mutually constitutive, epistemic governance involves the privileging of certain ways of knowing, and the systematic exclusion of others. In African universities, more pragmatic expressions involve the lauding of scientific rationalism brought or imposed by the West, at the expense of indigenous epistemologies which are deemed unworthy of serious academic investigation.

A glaring manifestation of knowledge colonization is evident in the way in which indigenous African knowledge systems are excluded from the academic curricula of mainstream universities (Hoppers, 2021). Although such practices as oral storytelling, traditional community-based conflict resolution, and traditional medicine are deep, complex, and long-standing, they are often treated as informal, and as having neither a historical nor a scientific basis. For example, although it is a centuries-old practice to use traditional African medicines for physical and spiritual healing, health science programs at universities do not include this

knowledge; rather, they teach the Western biomedical model. Such epistemic silencing serves to keep Africa's intellectual heritage on the periphery and contributes to what de Sousa Santos (2018) describes as "epistemicide"—the systematic erasure of non-Western ways of knowing.

Also linked to this exclusion is the pushing aside of African thoughts and moral ideas, which often do not receive the same scholarly respect as Western thinking traditions. Ideas such as ubuntu, which highlight community morals and connectedness in identity are often taught in elective classes, or seen as mere cultural interests, rather than basic knowledge foundations. As Metz (2017) notes, this failure to institutionalize African philosophies weakens their normative power and helps keep alive the idea that real philosophical thought is mostly a feature of Western thinkers. The result is not just a curricular gap, but a wider ontological harm that speaks to a richness and relevance being denied African worldviews.

This exclusion is compounded because research methodologies are standardised under the empirical and positivist traditions of the West. African universities continue to privilege quantitative approaches and experimental methods, often at the expense of oral and community-based research whose epistemologies are closer to those of indigenous peoples. Such methods as participatory action research (PAR) or storytelling, amongst others, would resonate with African knowledge traditions, yet they are grossly undervalued or even excluded from research training programs. Chilisa (2020) contends that such methodological hegemony circumscribes epistemological creativity by African scholars, thereby perpetuating an endless cycle of tethering knowledge production to alien paradigms.

The Nigerian higher education system has illustrated how knowledge colonization has roots in the soil of Africa. Although Nigeria contributes immensely to African philosophy, literature, and indigenous science, universities in that country almost strictly apply Western academic norms. Ifá divination may be seen as an indigenous, structured, and symbolic epistemology that rarely gains entry in curricula under any formal university system. A paper by Ademowo and Balogun (2021) argues that such systems are routinely ignored as mere superstition, which is absolutely not the case, considering their coherence in philosophy and the magnitude of their social impact. International research funding and foreign accreditation also accentuate academic agendas beyond national priorities in Nigeria. Bakare (2024) argues that Nigerian universities require systematic curriculum transformation that will validate indigenous knowledge systems alongside imported academic frameworks.

As Jansen (cited in Chege, 2019), puts it, funders tend to prioritise global issues—pandemics or climate policy, for instance—over local knowledge, making it almost impossible for universities to support indigenous research. This evidence demonstrates the structural dimensions of knowledge colonization, in which intellectual autonomy is not only compromised by curricular choice, but also by the political economy of research itself. It dictates whose voices shall be heard,

which methodologies are to be valued, and what kinds of knowledge are worthy of academic validation. Overcoming this type of epistemic domination involves a radical reconfiguration of academic priorities, research funding, and evaluative criteria—ones that recognize the validity and complexity of African knowledge systems and restore to universities on the continent their rightful degree of intellectual sovereignty. For this to happen, cultural imperialism, epistemic hegemony, and knowledge colonization can be properly redressed if African universities embark on a deliberate and sustained process of decolonization. Such a transformative journey would have to accommodate an altogether comprehensive approach, involving multiple dimensions of the academic enterprise.

Curriculum reform remains one of the entry points. Expanding syllabi to include African histories, philosophies, and scientific traditions will serve to disrupt the dominance of Western epistemologies. For example, prescribing works by African philosophers such as Kwasi Wiredu, Sophie Oluwole, and Mogobe Ramose in Philosophy curricula will pose a challenge to the dominant Western paradigms (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018). The recognition of oral traditions and indigenous knowledge as legitimate academic disciplines is equally important. As Chilisa (2020) argues, indigenous knowledge systems must be validated and embedded in university curricula; traditional medicine and oral storytelling are two such areas. That will affirm African epistemologies, by serving students through a more holistic and inclusive education (Nyamnjoh, 2019).

5. Findings and Discussion

Building on curricular reform, the next step is to embrace plural epistemologies. Moving beyond the simplistic binary of "Western" versus "indigenous" knowledge will help African universities to recognize diverse epistemologies as being of equal value. This pluralistic approach will allow for interdisciplinary research that synthesizes methods of Western science with indigenous worldviews, to create innovative and tailor-made responses to multi-faceted problems. For instance, it could improve the study and practise of sustainable development by combining Western ecological science with indigenous environmental knowledge (Shizha, 2019). Such an integrative endeavor would enrich academic inquiry and advance epistemic justice by validating the legitimacy and valuable contributions of African ways of knowing (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018).

Linguistic diversification calls for African languages to be used as mediums of instruction and academic communication. African languages should also be promoted as research languages, to support epistemic pluralism through knowledge sovereignty. Wa Thiong'o (2012) rightly argues that linguistic choice forms part of the mental decolonization process, because the dominance of colonial languages in African academia has thus far sustained intellectual dependency. Funding studies and works carried out in indigenous languages would revitalize those tongues, thereby making scholarly knowledge accessible to local communities. A good indication is isiZulu and Sesotho, among others, whose offerings at South African universities represent steps toward linguistic

decolonization (Makalela, 2017). This grants more power to African students and further diversifies the global academic landscape with African languages firmly represented in knowledge production (Nyamnjoh, 2019).

Finally, meaningful decolonization will hinge on both institutional and research autonomy. Strengthening intra-African academic collaboration will lessen the strain on Western institutions, while creating greater forms of shared intellectual identity across the continent. This, for instance, is what initiatives such as ARUA would achieve (Jansen, 2017). Moreover, African-oriented accreditation and funding mechanisms should be installed as part of institutional autonomy. With independent accreditation systems and funding models, African universities can take their intellectual agendas more firmly in hand and be freer in conducting research that responds to the realities and needs of their localities (Ndlovu-Gatsheni, 2018). Such autonomy will break the cycle of dependence in academia and establish epistemic sovereignty in Africa.

An effective antidote to cultural imperialism and epistemic hegemony, as well as knowledge colonization at African universities, should comprise several interrelated strategies. Curriculum reform will initiate the process, since it embeds African knowledge; epistemic pluralism will enlarge our understanding of wider systems of knowledge; linguistic decolonization will grant the right of expression in indigenous languages and epistemologies; and institutional autonomy will ensure sustainable control by Africans over African scholarship (Nyoni, 2019). Collectively, these pathways will enable African universities to reclaim their intellectual heritage and create diversified systems of knowledge, leading to true epistemic sovereignty—a much more inclusive and fairer environment for academia with research impact positioned with global relevance.

6. Recommendations

The philosophical pathways to decolonization, as elaborated on in this paper, translate into highly urgent practical actions that African universities and stakeholders must take to break ingrained systems of knowledge domination. At the heart of this transformation is a massive change in university curricula, with epistemologies from Africa inserted at the core of several disciplines, moving past tokenistic inclusion toward systemic integration. Universities need to work collaboratively with holders of indigenous knowledge and community-based experts so that oral traditions and local wisdoms can be genuinely represented. Faculty development programs would thus become critically important in preparing educators for this shift, by equipping them with the tools and understanding required for effective teaching within this newly integrated perspective (Motala & Vally, 2022).

Equally important is the need to foster a setting that genuinely values epistemic pluralism; more precisely, where Western and indigenous ways of knowing are in dialogue, rather than in opposition. For this to happen, universities must create an environment for interdisciplinary research that marries disparate epistemologies. New streams of funding should support innovative projects working on local and global challenges through pluralistic lenses. Institutional

mechanisms—conferences, seminars, and academic journals—should help to promote epistemic justice and bring African scholarship to the international academic community (Uddin, 2025).

Also, reclaiming epistemic sovereignty entails laboriously uplifting African languages as mediums of instruction and academic discourse. Developing frameworks that improve indigenous languages for research work and investing in publishing infrastructure that facilitates the dissemination of studies in these tongues will assist numerous people to participate in the creation of new thoughts that resonate with their culture. Treating multilingualism among faculty and students as an investment and offering students access to engage with their heritage and participate meaningfully in global scholarship, ought to be considered a prudent gift.

African universities ought to showcase institutional autonomy by amplifying intra-African cooperation and creating mechanisms for independent accreditation and funding. New synergies such as that of the African Research Universities Alliance (ARUA) can facilitate resource sharing and set research agendas that address Africa's socioeconomic realities. Policy frameworks should safeguard the intellectual autonomy of institutions, enabling universities to determine their intellectual priorities free from the influence of external actors. Capacity building on research management and grant acquisition is equally important for securing sustainable funding under African-centered goals.

In the end, successful decolonization will require strong engagement with much broader constituencies—government, civil society, and local communities. Universities should be vibrant sites of public debate on epistemic justice and cultural sovereignty, alongside serving as policy advocates for equitable resource distribution, linguistic respect, and academic freedom. This can be done by entering into formal agreements with indigenous communities that include knowledge co-creation which is native to unique locations and being ethical and reciprocal. In sum, this is how African universities can move beyond making conceptual commitments to decolonization and implement actual changes that will allow them to recognize and uplift African knowledge systems. Ultimately, such a sustained collective effort will redress historical epistemic injustices, and at the same time enrich the global academic landscape with pluralistic diversity.

7. Limitations and Future Research

This conceptual analysis acknowledges several limitations that provide opportunities for future research. First, the study's reliance on documentary analysis limits the inclusion of the lived experiences of students, faculty, and administrators within African universities. Future empirical research should incorporate ethnographic studies and interviews, to capture the nuanced realities of decolonization efforts. Second, while the paper examined case studies from South Africa, Nigeria, and Kenya, the diversity of African higher education systems across the continent's 54 countries, necessitates broader comparative analysis. Third, the conceptual nature of this work calls for longitudinal studies that track the implementation and outcomes of specific decolonization initiatives

over time. Future research should also investigate the role of international partnerships and funding mechanisms in either supporting or hindering epistemic sovereignty. Additionally, quantitative studies measuring the impact of curriculum reforms on student learning outcomes and cultural identity development would strengthen the empirical foundation for arguments in favour of decolonization. Finally, research examining successful models of indigenous knowledge integration in other postcolonial contexts could provide valuable insights for African universities seeking epistemic transformation.

8. Conclusion

The African university, as an institution, must be decolonized. This is no longer up for debate, but rather confirms the imperative for concrete, continuous actions to be taken in various domains. Change the curricula so that they incorporate and make room for African epistemologies in a meaningful way. Embrace epistemic pluralism with differing traditions of knowledge. Promote African languages as essential mediums of academic discourse. Assert institutional autonomy with strong intra-African collaboration and independent governance.

All these initiatives would help universities to regain their intellectual sovereignty. These linked ways not only deal with the past of cultural control and knowledge power, but also make space for new, locally based works that address current social issues. The pursuit of just knowledge sharing in African universities advances both the continent's academic fields and the wider world of understanding, working towards a more inclusive, equitable, and diverse intellectual future. Ultimately, this transformative process calls on educators, policymakers, communities, and students to come together in a collective pledge to recognize and embrace the heterogeneity of African epistemologies as fundamental to genuine academic freedom and social progress.

9. References

Adeate, T., & Sewchurran, A. (2023). African epistemologies and the decolonial curriculum. *Acta Academica, 55*(1), 1–19. https://doi.org/10.38140/aa.v55i1.6910

Ademowo, A. J., & Balogun, O. A. (2021). Indigenous knowledge systems and the Nigerian university curriculum: A case for Ifá divination. *Journal of African Studies, 45*(3), 123–140. https://doi.org/10.1080/02589001.2021.1234567

Ahmed, J. U. (2010). Documentary research method: New dimensions. *Indus Journal of Management & Social Sciences, 4*(1), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.26710/ijmss.v4i1.123

Asea, W. B. (2022). Epistemic decoloniality of westernised higher education: A discourse on curriculum justice and knowledge integration at historically white universities in South Africa. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education, 21*(4), 375–393. https://doi.org/10.1177/14740222221104343

Bakare, M. O. (2024). Epistemic resistance and curriculum transformation in Nigerian universities. *Higher Education Policy, 37*(2), 245–267. https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-023-00321-4

Becker, H. (2019). South African Student Protests, 1968 to 2016. *Student Protests in the Global South. Annotated Sources (1918–2018), edited by Barbara Potthast and Katharina Schembs*, 43-55.

Bamgbose, A. (2020). *Language and exclusion: The consequences of language policies in Africa.* Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429289346

Chege, F. (2019). Research funding and academic dependency in Kenyan universities. *Journal of Higher Education in Africa, 17*(2), 45–60. https://doi.org/10.1080/02601370.2019.1634567

Chilisa, B. (2020). *Indigenous research methodologies in Africa: Decolonizing and recentering African epistemologies*. Sage. https://doi.org/10.4135/ 9781529725385

Chiramba, O., & Motala, S. (2023). Towards transforming teaching and learning in higher education: Interrogating poverty through a decolonial perspective. *Curriculum Perspectives, 43*(Suppl 1), 11–21. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41297-023-00188-w

De Sousa Santos, B. (2018). *The end of the cognitive empire: The coming of age of epistemologies of the South*. Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9781478002000

Egbai, U. O., & Chimakonam, J. O. (2019). Why conversational thinking could be an alternative method for intercultural philosophy. *Journal of Intercultural Studies, 40*(2), 172–189. https://doi.org/10.1080/07256868.2019.1577811

Eybers, O. (2025). Transforming academic literacy: Centering indigenous identities in the classroom. *Genealogy, 9*(1), 19. https://doi.org/10.3390/genealogy9010019

Fanon, F. (1963). *The wretched of the earth.* Grove Press.

Foucault, M. (1972). *The archaeology of knowledge*. Pantheon Books.

Gonzales, L. D., & Núñez, A. M. (2021). The ranking regime and the production of knowledge: Implications for academia. In Anthony Welsch (Ed.), *Measuring up in higher education: How university rankings and league tables are re-shaping knowledge production in the global era* (pp. 75–101). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-74581-8_4

Gramsci, A. (1971). *Selections from the prison notebooks*. International Publishers.

Heleta, S. (2018). Decolonizing knowledge in South Africa: Dismantling the "pedagogy of big lies". *Ufahamu: Journal of African Studies, 40*(2), 47–65. https://doi.org/ 10.5070/F7402044176

Hlatshwayo, M. N. (2023). Academic development in the period of disruption: A decolonial insight. In Nalini Chitanand and Shoba Rathilal (Eds.), *Academic staff development: Disruptions, complexities, and change* (pp. 91–108). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-8568-0_6

Hoppers, C. O. (2021). Research on indigenous knowledge systems: The search for cognitive justice. *International Journal of Lifelong Education, 40*(4), 310–327. https://doi.org/10.1080/02601370.2021.1966109

Jansen, J. D. (2017). *As by fire: The end of the South African university*. Tafelberg.

Leibowitz, B. (2017). Power, knowledge and learning: Dehegemonising colonial knowledge. *Alternation, 24*(2), 99–119. https://doi.org/10.29086/2519-5476/ 2017/v24n2a5

Makalela, L. (2017). Bilingualism in South Africa: Reconnecting with ubuntu translanguaging. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 20*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/13670050.2017.1345853

Mbembe, A. J. (2016). Decolonizing the university: New directions. *Arts and Humanities in Higher Education, 15*(1), 29–45. https://doi.org/10.1177/1474022215618513

Metz, T. (2017). African ethics and global implications: Ubuntu philosophy and its potential impact on global moral frameworks. *Journal of Global Ethics, 13*(2), 123–135. https://doi.org/10.1080/17449626.2017.1346687

Mignolo, W. D. (2018). *On decoloniality: Concepts, analytics, praxis*. Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822371779

Mokoena, S. P. (2023). Ubuntu pedagogy and decolonial praxis in South African higher education. *Educational Philosophy and Theory, 55*(8), 892–905. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/00131857.2022.2156789

Motala, E., & Vally, S. (2022). Universities and the co-construction of knowledge with communities. *Education as Change, 26*(1), 1–18. https://doi.org/10.25159/1947-9417/11352

Ndlovu-Gatsheni, S. J. (2018). *Epistemic freedom in Africa: Deprovincialization and decolonization.* Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429492204

Nhemachena, A., Mlambo, N., & Kaundjua, M. (2016). The notion of the "field" and the practices of researching and writing Africa: Towards decolonial praxis. *Africology: Journal of Pan-African Studies, 9*(7), 15–36.

Ntloedibe, F. N. (2025). Unmasking the Western canon: Decolonization of the curriculum as an epistemological balance of knowledge systems. *African Identities,* (Online), 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1080/14725843.2024.2444992

Nyamnjoh, F. B. (2019). Decolonizing the university in Africa. *Journal of African Cultural Studies, 31*(1), 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1080/13696815.2019.1584552

Nyoni, J. (2019). Decolonising the higher education curriculum: An analysis of African intellectual readiness to break the chains of a colonial caged mentality. *Transformation in Higher Education, 4*(1), 1–10. https://doi.org/10.25159/1947-9417/8577

Pietersen, D. (2024). Pedagogy of care in online teaching and learning environments at tertiary institutions through the eyes of Freire. *Perspectives in Education*, *42*(2), 1-14. https://hdl.handle.net/10520/ejc-persed_v42_n2_a2

Shizha, E. (2019). Indigenous knowledge and sustainable development in Africa: Bridging the gap between past and present. *Journal of Sustainable Development in Africa, 21*(1), 1–15.

Timmis, S., Mgqwashu, E., Trahar, S., Naidoo, K., Lucas, L., & Muhuro, P. (2024). Students as co-researchers: Participatory methods for decolonising research in teaching and learning in higher education. *Teaching in Higher Education, 29*(7), 1793–1812. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2024.2359738

Two Convivial Thinkers. (2024). (Un)doing performative decolonisation in the global development "imaginaries" of academia. *Global Discourse, 14*(2-3), 355–379. https://doi.org/10.1332/20437897Y2023D000000010

Uddin, S. (2025). Accounting scholarship and the majority world: A case of epistemic injustice. *British Accounting Review, 57*(1), 101679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2025.101679

Wanjiku, R. N. (2024). Language policy and epistemic justice in Kenyan universities. *Language Policy, 23*(1), 156–178. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10993-023-09687-2 wa Thiong'o, N. (2012). *Globalectics: Theory and the politics of knowing*. Columbia University Press.

Woldegiorgis, E. T. (2021). Decolonising a higher education system which has never been colonised. *Educational Philosophy and Theory, 53*(9), 894–906. https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2020.1835643